

ASX Announcement (ASX:AXE)

12 October 2017

## New cobalt and copper prospects identified at North Broken Hill

### Highlights

---

- Archer has identified extensions to Purnamoota prospect with cobalt up to 0.15% reported in rock chips.
  - Area around Broken Hill continues to be prospective for cobalt and copper mineralisation.
  - New cobalt prospects discovered at Highway, Salty Hill and Gairdner's Tank, also with associated high copper grades in rock chips at Highway (29.4%) and Salty (7.5%)
  - Salty Hill and Highway located within 20km of Cobalt Blue's Thackaringa Cobalt Project.
  - Approximately 80% of North Broken Hill Project area explored, with exploration continuing and additional assay results to be reported as they are received and interpreted.
- 

Archer Exploration Limited (ASX:AXE, Archer or the Company) is pleased to continue to update the market with results from the Company's ongoing exploration program at the Company's 100% owned North Broken Project, located approximately 20km north of the township of Broken Hill, NSW.

Archer's Executive Chairman, Greg English said "The exploration results at North Broken Hill continue to positively surprise with a further three prospects identified at Highway, Salty and Gairdner's Tank."

"The presence of multiple cobalt and copper occurrences within the tenement area, and the recent drilling success by Silver City Minerals at the nearby Copper Blow Prospect (8.2 metres @ 1.9% copper and 0.53 g/t gold\*), gives Archer further confidence in the prospectivity of these tenements and our efforts to continue our cobalt and copper focussed exploration in the area," said Mr English.

\* Refer to Silver City Mineral Limited (ASX:SCI) ASX announcement dated 5 October 2017

For personal use only

The latest exploration work was focussed on the following areas (Figure 1):

- Highway prospect, located approximately 10km northwest of Cobalt Blue's Thackaringa Project.
- Salty Hill prospect, located approximately 15km northwest of the Thackaringa Project.
- Gairdner's Tank prospect, located approximately 40km northwest of Broken Hill.
- Extensions to the previously discovered Purnamoota prospect.

Exploration by Archer successfully identified cobalt mineralisation at all four of the above prospects with high grade copper also identified in rock chips at Highway (29.4% copper) and Salty Hill (7.50% copper). All results are reported in Annexure A.




Figure 1: Location of reconnaissance targets within the Broken Hill tenements

For personal use only

## Background

The North Broken Hill Project tenements were granted in June 2017 and Archer has been actively exploring the tenement area since grant. The tenements collectively cover a large area of approximately 450km<sup>2</sup> and early exploration work has focussed on visiting previously identified cobalt outcrops and the discovery of new regional cobalt, copper and gold targets.

Exploration to date at North Broken Hill has been highly successful with Archer identifying four large targets areas at Purnamoota, Himalaya, Yancowinna and Golden King West (Figure 1). Previous rock chip sampling and mapping by Archer has identified high grade cobalt at all four prospects with additional high grade copper also discovered at Purnamoota, Yancowinna and Golden King (Table 1).

The North Broken Hill tenement area is divided into nine distinct areas (Figure 1) however, Archer has only explored seven of these areas with the southern tenements EL 8596 and EL 8597 yet to be explored. Results from the seven areas explored to date have been exceptional with mineralisation identified across large areas. The exploration results to date, including the latest results the subject of this announcement, are summarised below:

Name	Style of mineralisation	Strike length	Peak grade
Purnamoota	Great Eastern	1km	0.15%Co and 3.45% Cu
Himalaya	Himalaya	3km	0.16%Co
Yancowinna	Great Eastern	1.5km	0.13% Co and 1.1% Cu
Golden King West	Great Eastern	300m	0.15% Co and 0.6% Cu
Highway	Great Eastern	unknown	0.1% Co and 29.4% Cu
Salty Hill	Great Eastern	unknown	0.09% Co and 7.5% Cu
Gairdner's Tank	Great Eastern	unknown	0.06% Co

Table 1: Summary of exploration results

Approximately 80% of the total North Broken Hill project area has now been explored by Archer with the remaining areas (EL 8596 and EL 8597) to be explored in the coming weeks.

## Latest results

The latest results reported in this announcement are from the Highway, Salty Hill and Gairdner's Tank newly explored areas as well as further exploration at the previously discovered Purnamoota prospect. All assay results are reported in Annexure A at the end of this announcement.

### Highway Prospect

The Highway prospect is located on the southernmost part of EL 8593 and approximately 10km northwest of Cobalt Blue's Thackaringa Project. Reconnaissance rock chip sampling by Archer reported grades of up to 0.1% (1,000ppm) cobalt and up to 29.4% copper (Figure 2).

The mineralisation at Highway appears to be associated with retrograde schist zones within a granite with the extent of the mineralised zone yet to be determined as the mineralised horizon disappears under shallow cover. Archer intends to revisit the area as part of a more advanced future exploration program.

For personal use only

## Salty Prospect

Salty Hill prospect is 5km north of the Highway prospect and only 15km northwest of Cobalt Blue's Thackaringa Project. Sampling at Salty Hill identified clusters of cobalt rocks grading up to 900ppm (0.09%) cobalt. In addition to cobalt at Salty Hill Archer intends to test the magnetic high located in the southwest corner of the tenement (Figure 3).




Figure 2: Cobalt results from rock chip sampling at Highway over magnetic image




Figure 3: Cobalt results from rock chip sampling at Salty over magnetic image

## Purnamoota Prospect (Extended)

Sampling to the northeast of the previously discovered Purnamoota prospect has identified additional mineralisation and has increased the known area of Purnamoota. The latest rock chip sampling has identified rocks, reporting up to 0.15% cobalt (Figure 4).

Archer has so far mapped Purnamoota over a 1km strike length and the Company intends to revisit this prospect and conduct infill rock chip and soil surveys to better define the extent and grade of the mineralised zone at Purnamoota.

## Gairdner's Tank

Cobalt mineralisation was identified at Gairdner's Tank with a maximum cobalt rock chip value of 600ppm cobalt and 0.24% copper reported. Archer intends to revisit Gairdner's Tank once the exploration work at EL 8596 and EL 8597 is completed however, Gairdner's Tank is not a high priority target at this stage.






Figure 4: Cobalt results from rock chip sampling at Purnamoota over magnetic image, yellow labels indicate previous rock chips.

## Broken Hill copper potential

Exploration around Broken Hill has traditionally focussed on the discovery of silver lead zinc with historic explorers determined to find repetitions of the Broken Hill orebody. However, in recent explorers, including Archer, have become aware of the potential for the area to host copper mineralisation. For example, at Purnamoota Archer has identified an anomaly that extends for a 1km and contains copper up to 3.45%, whilst Yancowinna has a strike length of 1.5km. Both Purnamoota and Yancowinna extend under cover and Archer believes there is potential to extend the dimensions of these prospects with further exploration.

In addition to exploration success by Archer, in an ASX announcement dated 5 October 2017 Silver City Minerals reported 8.2 metres @ 1.9% copper and 0.53 g/t gold from 131.8 metres in hole 17CB043 which was first hole drilled in a recent copper focussed RC drilling campaign. This hole was drilled at Silver City's Copper Blow prospect which is approximately 20km to the north of Archer's EL 8596 which is yet to be explored by Archer.

## Next Steps

In the coming weeks Archer will explore the southern tenements at Broken Hill (EL 8596 and EL 8597) and report the results to market. The Company will then rank all of the existing prospects identified and undertake further exploration with the more prospective areas being explored in priority to the other areas.

Archer expects to continually announce results from its Broken Hill exploration program over the coming weeks.

For further information, please contact:

Mr Greg English  
Chairman  
Archer Exploration Limited  
Tel: 08 8272 3288

Mr Cary Helenius  
Investor Relations  
Market Eye  
Tel: 03 9591 8906

## Competent Person Statement

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Results is based on information compiled by Mr Wade Bollenhagen, a Competent Person who is a Member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy and is a full-time employee of Archer Exploration Limited. Mr Bollenhagen has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the "Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves". Mr. Bollenhagen consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

For personal use only

## JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1

### Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
<b>Sampling Techniques</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as downhole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc.). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</li> <li>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</li> <li>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</li> <li>In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases, more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Random rock chip samples, some with obvious copper/base metal mineralisation.</li> <li>Sampling was guided by Archer's protocols as the program was exploratory in nature. No standards were submitted by the company during analyses.</li> <li>All samples were sent to ALS laboratory in Adelaide for preparation and forwarded to Peth for multi-element analyses.</li> <li>All samples are crushed using LM2 mill to -4 mm and pulverised to nominal 80% passing -75 µm.</li> </ul>
<b>Drilling Techniques</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc.) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc.).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drilling is not being reported in this release</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
<b>Drill Sample Recovery</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</li> <li>• Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</li> <li>• Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drilling is not being reported in this release.</li> </ul>
<b>Logging</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</li> <li>• Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc.) photography.</li> <li>• The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Samples were described for geological purposes.</li> <li>• Drilling is not being reported in this release.</li> </ul>
<b>Sub-Sampling Techniques and Sample Preparation</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</li> <li>• If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc. and whether sampled wet or dry.</li> <li>• For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</li> <li>• Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</li> <li>• Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</li> <li>• Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drilling is not being reported in this release.</li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
<b>Quality of Assay Data and Laboratory Tests</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</li> <li>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc., the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</li> <li>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Certified standards were not used in the assessment of the analyses.</li> <li>Analyses was by ALS Perth using their ME-MS61 technique for multi-elements.</li> <li>The laboratory uses their own certified standards during analyses.</li> </ul>
<b>Verification of Sampling and Assaying</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</li> <li>The use of twinned holes.</li> <li>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</li> <li>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No verification of sampling, no use of twinned holes.</li> <li>Data is exploratory in nature and exists as excel spread sheets.</li> <li>No data adjustment.</li> </ul>
<b>Location of Data Points</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drillholes (collar and downhole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>Specification of the grid system used.</li> <li>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MGA94 Zone 54 grid coordinate system is used.</li> <li>A hand-held GPS was used to identify the sample location</li> <li>Quality and adequacy is appropriate for this level of exploration</li> </ul>
<b>Data Spacing and Distribution</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</li> <li>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drilling is not being reported in this release.</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
<b>Orientation of Data in Relation to Geological Structure</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</li> <li>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drilling is not being reported in this release.</li> </ul>
<b>Sample Security</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is assumed that best practices were undertaken at the time</li> <li>All residual sample material (pulp) are stored securely.</li> </ul>
<b>Audits or Reviews</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None undertaken.</li> </ul>

## Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
<b>Mineral Tenement and Land Tenure Status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</li> <li>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tenement status confirmed on MINVIEW2.</li> <li>All work being reported is from EL 8592, 8593, 8594 &amp; 8595 (owned by SA Exploration Pty Ltd, a subsidiary of AXE).</li> <li>The tenements are in good standing with no known impediments.</li> </ul>
<b>Exploration Done by Other Parties</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Exploration has been conducted within the areas for a very long time, the research is ongoing to identify all the historic explorers.</li> <li>Some 29 companies have been identified so far with formal reports dating back to earlier than 1971.</li> <li>Exploration is dominated by the search for Pb-Zn-Ag deposits of the Broken Hill style of mineralisation,</li> <li>There is limited reporting of other commodities other than Pb-Zn-Ag-Cu and Au in soils, rock chip sampling and drill hole sampling.</li> <li>Geophysical surveys have been reported, these are still being collated to determine their locations and suitability for exploration.</li> </ul>
<b>Geology</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Great Eastern mineralisation where Co is associated with Copper.</li> <li>The Sisters mineralisation where Co is also associated with Copper in iron rich chert layers</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
<b>Drillhole Information</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Easting and northing of the drill hole collar</li> <li>– Elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</li> <li>– Dip and azimuth of the hole</li> <li>– Downhole length and interception depth</li> <li>– Hole length</li> </ul> </li> <li>• If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drilling is not being reported in this release.</li> </ul>
<b>Data Aggregation Methods</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</li> <li>• Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</li> <li>• The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drilling is not being reported in this release.</li> </ul>
<b>Relationship Between Mineralisation Widths and Intercept Lengths</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>• If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</li> <li>• If it is not known and only the downhole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'downhole length, true width not known').</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drilling is not being reported in this release.</li> </ul>
<b>Diagrams</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drilling is not being reported in this release.</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
<b>Balanced Reporting</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The reporting is considered to be balanced.</li> </ul>
<b>Other Substantive Exploration Data</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nothing to report at this stage</li> </ul>
<b>Further Work</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</li> <li>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Further sampling is required throughout the tenement as well as testing for mineralisation under cover.</li> <li>Electro-magnetics will be required to vector areas of greater conductivity and higher mineralisation potential.</li> <li>Figures in the body of this report highlight the gaps in the data.</li> </ul>



## Annexure A - Summary of rock chip results

The following table provides the location and a summary of chemistry for rock chip samples, all data is in Zone 54. A total of 130 rock chip samples were collected and submitted for assay, only 102 samples are reported in this release. Samples falling below 100ppm Co are not reported except where Cu > 5.0% was reported.

Assays presented below are considered relevant to the release but do not include the entire suite of elements tested, elements that are not reported are not considered economic (e.g. Ni, Pb, Fe etc.)

Sample Id	Easting	Northing	Ag	Cu%	Co%	Location
NB1101	545906	6492865	1.27	0.04	0.02	Purnamoota
NB1099	545583	6492670	2.28	0.10	0.05	Purnamoota
NB1097	545558	6492534	10.35	0.25	<b>0.15</b>	Purnamoota
NB1098	545558	6492534	2.52	0.12	0.05	Purnamoota
WD02686	541236	6490918	1.52	0.01	0.01	Purnamoota
WD02688	541245	6490912	<b>49.8</b>	0.04	<b>0.07</b>	Purnamoota
WD02687	541242	6490912	1.04	0.01	0.01	Purnamoota
WD02685	541233	6490909	<b>85.8</b>	0.03	0.04	Purnamoota
WD02684	541233	6490909	4.21	0.04	0.03	Purnamoota
NB1095	538137	6490556	<b>711</b>	0.41	0.00	Purnamoota
WD02689	541841	6490538	0.54	0.01	0.01	Purnamoota
NB1012	541807	6490463	0.56	0.01	0.02	Purnamoota
NB1011	541793	6490427	0.67	0.01	0.02	Purnamoota
NB1096	537902	6490412	<b>130</b>	0.44	0.01	Purnamoota
NB1010	541675	6490300	0.36	0.02	0.01	Purnamoota
NB1009	541596	6490051	16.3	0.06	0.01	Purnamoota
NB1008	541591	6490042	3.18	0.05	0.03	Purnamoota
NB1006	541035	6489687	2.3	0.05	0.01	Purnamoota
WD02703	541035	6489679	4.28	0.07	0.01	Purnamoota
WD02702	541024	6489677	10.2	0.15	0.02	Purnamoota
WD02701	541016	6489666	6.61	0.08	0.03	Purnamoota
NB1004	541009	6489657	3.82	0.16	0.04	Purnamoota
WD02700	541009	6489656	1.01	2.22	<b>0.07</b>	Purnamoota
WD02699	541008	6489642	5.64	0.14	<b>0.06</b>	Purnamoota
NB1005	540998	6489641	1.78	0.07	0.02	Purnamoota
WD02698	541002	6489637	3.77	0.18	<b>0.06</b>	Purnamoota
WD02681	540275	6489279	<b>55.7</b>	0.01	0.00	Purnamoota
WD02680	540342	6489177	7.11	0.08	0.02	Purnamoota
WD02676	540036	6489037	1.44	0.07	0.01	Purnamoota
WD02674	539809	6489016	1.13	0.10	0.02	Purnamoota
WD02675	539648	6488968	0.55	0.06	0.02	Purnamoota
WD02673	539586	6488698	5.16	0.11	0.03	Purnamoota

For personal use only

Sample Id	Easting	Northing	Ag	Cu%	Co%	Location
NB1015	526425	6458193	3.27	<b>11.60</b>	<b>0.10</b>	Highway
NB1013	526425	6458193	<b>63.6</b>	0.86	0.07	Highway
NB1017	526425	6458193	<b>56.2</b>	2.62	<b>0.06</b>	Highway
NB1014	526425	6458193	<b>56.5</b>	<b>21.50</b>	0.04	Highway
NB1016	526425	6458193	18.85	<b>7.11</b>	0.03	Highway
NB1018	526443	6458134	2.41	0.27	0.01	Highway
NB1022	526747	6457818	2.1	<b>6.18</b>	0.01	Highway
NB1019	526772	6457791	11.6	<b>12.85</b>	0.03	Highway
NB1020	526772	6457791	10.9	0.51	0.02	Highway
NB1021	526772	6457791	11.4	4.01	0.01	Highway
NB1023	526890	6457686	1.64	0.09	<b>0.10</b>	Highway
NB1024	526873	6457662	17.65	0.18	0.01	Highway
NB1025	526824	6457479	4.05	0.26	<b>0.07</b>	Highway
NB1030	526564	6457451	3.83	2.61	0.01	Highway
NB1033	526564	6457451	0.6	0.07	0.01	Highway
NB1031	526564	6457451	14.85	<b>9.93</b>	0.01	Highway
NB1029	526564	6457451	0.27	0.66	0.01	Highway
NB1042	527129	6457414	1.31	0.87	<b>0.08</b>	Highway
NB1045	527129	6457414	5.34	<b>5.49</b>	<b>0.06</b>	Highway
NB1044	527129	6457414	2.26	<b>13.30</b>	0.05	Highway
NB1041	527129	6457414	0.51	3.78	0.05	Highway
NB1043	527129	6457414	0.09	0.77	0.01	Highway
NB1038	526663	6457401	0.48	0.38	0.01	Highway
NB1037	526663	6457401	0.22	0.05	0.00	Highway
NB1035	526653	6457390	<b>46.7</b>	<b>29.40</b>	0.01	Highway
NB1026	526753	6457369	0.15	0.01	0.01	Highway
NB1028	526757	6457341	0.24	0.02	0.01	Highway
NB1063	562535	6506953	0.15	0.24	0.04	Gairdner's Tank
NB1064	562535	6506953	0.14	0.11	0.02	Gairdner's Tank
NB1062	562611	6506944	0.14	0.09	0.01	Gairdner's Tank
NB1066	562550	6506943	0.17	0.21	<b>0.06</b>	Gairdner's Tank
NB1067	562616	6506941	0.19	0.22	0.02	Gairdner's Tank
NB1073	561967	6506754	17.6	0.07	0.01	Gairdner's Tank
NB1068	561717	6506593	0.58	0.08	0.02	Gairdner's Tank
NB1070	561725	6506562	0.81	0.03	0.01	Gairdner's Tank
NB1069	561721	6506557	4.54	0.09	0.04	Gairdner's Tank
NB1071	561718	6506547	4.37	0.13	0.02	Gairdner's Tank
NB1059	526241	6463279	3.05	0.36	0.01	Salty Hill
NB1060	526208	6463254	2.17	0.25	0.01	Salty Hill
NB1058	526175	6463107	1.08	0.13	0.01	Salty Hill
NB1051	526065	6463077	2.56	0.13	0.02	Salty Hill

For personal use only

Sample Id	Easting	Northing	Ag	Cu%	Co%	Location
NB1052	526137	6463025	1.38	2.52	<b>0.09</b>	Salty Hill
NB1053	526137	6463025	5.41	0.73	<b>0.08</b>	Salty Hill
NB1050	526038	6462884	0.29	0.12	0.05	Salty Hill
NB1049	526024	6462841	0.25	0.09	0.04	Salty Hill
NB1055	526203	6462813	2.19	0.07	0.02	Salty Hill
NB1057	526135	6462802	0.44	0.05	0.01	Salty Hill
NB1061	526627	6462740	0.4	0.02	0.01	Salty Hill
NB1083	526292	6462703	1.61	2.00	0.03	Salty Hill
NB1084	526288	6462692	1.48	0.10	0.01	Salty Hill
NB1086	526269	6462683	6.29	0.25	0.04	Salty Hill
NB1090	526319	6462683	1.31	0.25	0.03	Salty Hill
NB1087	526274	6462680	0.25	2.13	0.03	Salty Hill
NB1089	526304	6462676	2.7	0.14	0.03	Salty Hill
NB1088	526290	6462669	3.38	0.27	0.01	Salty Hill
NB1093	526274	6462668	1.59	0.99	<b>0.06</b>	Salty Hill
NB1091	526301	6462665	1.02	0.09	0.02	Salty Hill
NB1085	526291	6462663	0.34	<b>7.51</b>	0.00	Salty Hill
NB1092	526277	6462660	9.12	0.36	0.03	Salty Hill
NB1082	526370	6462642	0.16	0.03	0.02	Salty Hill
NB1081	526351	6462635	0.16	0.02	0.01	Salty Hill
NB1077	526497	6462583	3.38	0.14	0.05	Salty Hill
NB1056	525961	6462580	0.53	0.13	<b>0.07</b>	Salty Hill
NB1076	526501	6462574	0.92	0.08	0.01	Salty Hill
NB1080	526378	6462561	0.35	0.03	0.01	Salty Hill
NB1079	526378	6462561	0.64	0.03	0.01	Salty Hill
NB1046	526169	6462514	1.22	0.04	0.01	Salty Hill
NB1047	526172	6462496	0.99	0.20	0.01	Salty Hill
NB1048	526074	6462145	0.39	0.16	0.01	Salty Hill